

Abstract

Self-supervised allows leveraging unlabeled data to learn useful representations through solving pretext tasks. However, methods and common practices for combining such pretext tasks for better performance on the downstream task have not been explored and understood properly. We provide and **efficient and motivated** offline method allowing the **selection and weighting** of pretext tasks and validate it on audio data.

Main Idea

The more the pretext task labels are **independent** from the speech samples **conditionally on** the downstream labels, the better should the final performance be [2].



Individual Pretext task selection



Figure 1:Phone Error Rate and CI estimate values on TIMIT for every considered pretext-task label [4]. We can observe the monotonic relation between the estimator and the downstream errors. Spearman correlation reaches 0.93 and Kendall τ : 0.81.

Pretext Tasks Selection for Multitask Self-Supervised Audio Representation Learning

Salah Zaiem¹ Titouan Parcollet² Slim Essid¹

¹LTCI, Télécom Paris, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France ²LIA, Avignon Université

Multitask Selection and Weighting

Goal : given a set of k possible pretext-task labels $(Z_i)_{i \in [0,k]}$, we seek to estimate a set of parameters $(\lambda_i)_{i \in [0,k]}$ weighting the loss of every pretext-task label Z_i during the pre-training phase. Loss function : $L_{SSL} = MSE_{mel} + MSE_{mfcc} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \ell_1(Z_i)$



Results

Models	LibriSpeech	$(WER \% \downarrow) \mathbf{V}$	$VoxCeleb1 (EER \% \downarrow)$) IEMOCAP $(A$
	No LM	LM		
PASE+[3]	25.11	16.62	11.61	57.86
vq-wav2vec	17.71	12.80	10.38	58.24
Selections				
All	21.98 ± 0.36	11.70 ± 0.27	11.90 ± 0.32	56.4 ± 1.3
Softmax	$13.17{\pm}0.28$	$\textbf{8.00}\pm\textbf{0.23}$	9.24 ± 0.29	60.6 ± 1.2
Sparsemax	17.18 ± 0.32	10.41 ± 0.26	8.63 ± 0.27	60.8 ± 1.2

Extending wav2vec 2.0

Results observed retraining the Wav2vec2 [1] model with and without weighted pretext tasks. The loss function for the third line here is : $L_{SSL} = L_{W2V} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \ell_1(Z_i)$.

Selections	LibriSpeech (WER $\% \downarrow$)		VoxCeleb1 (<i>EER</i> $\% \downarrow$)		IEMOCAP $(Acc \% \uparrow)$	
	Fr.	Fine.	Fr.	Fine.	Fr.	Fine.
wav2vec 2.0 $BASE$	17.93 ± 0.33	10.21 ± 0.25	7.20 ± 0.26	5.35 ± 0.22	56.6 ± 1.2	$\textbf{74.0} \pm \textbf{1.16}$
wav2vec 2.0 $BASE$ + Naive selection	17.23 ± 0.32	10.10 ± 0.25	6.80 ± 0.25	$\textbf{5.05}\pm\textbf{0.21}$	57.4 ± 1.3	73.7 ± 1.16
wav2vec 2.0 BASE -Sparsemax	16.70 ± 0.31	$\textbf{9.18}\pm\textbf{0.24}$	6.57 ± 0.25	5.30 ± 0.22	59.5 ± 1.29	$\textbf{74.0} \pm \textbf{1.16}$

Constraints on the weights

- Positivity : $\lambda_i \ge 0 \Longrightarrow$ No adversarial learning.
- Constant sum : $\Sigma_i \lambda_i = 1$ enforced by $\lambda = softmax(W)$
- Sparsity to enforce selection, obtained with $\lambda = sparsemax(W)$

Respecting these conselected straints, the $(\lambda_i)_{i \in [0,k]}$ are the ones minimizing the CI criterion.

Table 1:Accuracy on the test set is computed for Medley-solos-DB while mean F1 Score is shown for OpenMIC. Higher is better for both. Sparsemax + is an experiment with additional pretext tasks in the starting pool. Spectral is an experiment replacing MFCCs with a combination of spectral representations.

PAS

Sele All MR RF Sof Spa Spa Spe

• CommonVoice English (6.0 700 hours) and Audioset Musical instruments part (155 hours), respectively for speech and music pretraining.

•	Eff
	dep
•	Ro
	pre
•	Imj
	dov
•	Co
	Spe
	inv

eam tasks.



Extension to Music

Models Med. solos $(Acc\%\uparrow)$ Op.MIC $(mean-F1\uparrow)$

SE+	None	64.1
, •		
ections		
	66.2 ± 0.83	62.89
RMR	62.3 ± 0.85	64.23
Ε	64.6 ± 0.84	62.80
tmax	$73.5{\pm}0.78$	65.06
rsemax	72.6 ± 0.79	65.39
rsemax+	$\textbf{76.1}{\pm 0.76}$	66.0
ectral+	74.6 ± 0.77	67.7

Pretraining Datasets

Take-away messages

ficient way to select and weight pretext tasks pending on the downstream task of interest. bust to downstream task, data type and etraining dataset changes.

proves Sota methods on three considered wnstream tasks.

de is available on github and within the eechBrain library for replication and further restigations.

^[1] A. Baevski, H. Zhou, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.11477, 2020. [2] J. D. Lee, Q. Lei, N. Saunshi, and J. Zhuo. Predicting what you already know helps: Provable self-supervised learning, 2020.

^[3] M. Ravanelli, J. Zhong, S. Pascual, P. Swietojanski, J. Monteiro, J. Trmal, and Y. Bengio. Multi-task self-supervised learning for robust speech recognition, 2020. [4] S. Zaiem, T. Parcollet, and S. Essid. Conditional independence for pretext task selection in self-supervised speech representation learning, 2021.